

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/053,963 | 01/22/2002 | Aron T. Lunde | 37829.0400 | 5214 | |
| 7: | 590 11/17/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| | enscheid, Esq. | | NGUYEN, KHIEM D | | |
| Snell & Wilme | • | | | D . DED . W.D (DED | |
| One Arizona C | enter | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 400 E. Van Buren | | 2823 | | | |
| Phoenix A7 | 85004-2202 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | adu | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/053,963 | LUNDE, ARON T. | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | KHIEM D. NGUYEN | 2823 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | (1) a timely filed amendment | plication. A proper repwhich places the application | cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 August 2004. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR). | | | orth in | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number | of finally rejected clain | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _ | or reconsideration has been o | onsidered but does NO | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLE | ELY to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v | nt(s) a) will not be entered of would be rejected is provided | or b) will be entered below or appended. | and an | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | M Cha | 2 | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | Giik Chaudhuri | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | Supervisory Patent Examin: | er i | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | Technology Center 2800 | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | . – | | | | | | |
| . ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 0. Other: | | | | | | | |